


# Climate4you update October 2019



## Contents:


- Page 2: October 2019 global surface air temperature overview versus last 10 years
- Page 3: Comments to the October 2019 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 4: October 2019 global surface air temperature compared to October 2018
- Page 5: Temperature quality class 1: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites
- Page 6: Temperature quality class 2: HadCRUT global surface air temperature
- Page 7: Temperature quality class 3: GISS and NCDC global surface air temperature
- Page 10: Comparing global surface air temperature and satellite-based temperatures
- Page 11: Global air temperature linear trends
- Page 12: Global temperatures: All in one, Quality Class 1, 2 and 3
- Page 14: Global sea surface temperature
- Page 17: Ocean temperature in uppermost 100 m
- Page 19: North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m
- Page 20: North Atlantic temperatures 0-800 m depth along 59N, 30-0W
- Page 21: Global ocean temperature 0-1900 m depth summary
- Page 22: Global ocean net temperature change since 2004 at different depths
- Page 23: La Niña and El Niño episodes
- Page 24: Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 25: Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 26: Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 27: Temperature over land versus over oceans
- Page 28: Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperatures
- Page 31: Arctic and Antarctic sea ice
- Page 35: Sea level in general
- Page 36: Global sea level from satellite altimetry
- Page 37: Global sea level from tide gauges
- Page 38: Snow cover; Northern Hemisphere weekly and seasonal
- Page 40: Atmospheric specific humidity
- Page 41: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- Page 42: Relation between annual change of atm. CO<sub>2</sub> and La Niña and El Niño episodes
- Page 43: Phase relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global temperature
- Page 44: Global air temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- Page 48: Latest 20-year QC1 global monthly air temperature change
- Page 49: Sunspot activity and QC1 average satellite global air temperature
- Page 50: Climate and history: *1854: The Crimean War and the beginning of systematic meteorological observations.*

## October 2019 global surface air temperature overview versus last 10 years




2

Surface air temperature October 2019 versus last 10 yr



Surface air temperature October 2019 versus last 10 yr



October 2019 surface air temperature compared to the average of the last 10 years. Green-yellow-red colours indicate areas with higher temperature than the 10-year average, while blue colours indicate lower than average temperatures. Data source: [Goddard Institute for Space Studies](#) (GISS) using ERSST\_v4 ocean surface temperatures, and GHCNv4 land surface temperatures.

General: This newsletter contains graphs showing a selection of key meteorological variables for the past month. All temperatures are given in degrees Celsius.

In the above maps showing the geographical pattern of surface air temperatures, the last previous 10 years are used as reference period.

The rationale for comparing with this recent period instead of the official WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990, is that the latter period is affected by the cold period 1945-1980. Most comparisons with this time period will automatically appear as warm, and it will be difficult to decide if modern surface air temperatures are increasing or decreasing. Comparing instead with the last previous 10 years overcomes this problem and displays the modern dynamics of ongoing change. This decadal approach also corresponds well to the typical memory horizon for many people and is now also adopted as reference period by other institutions, e.g. the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI).

3

In addition, the GISS temperature data used for preparing the above diagrams display distinct temporal instability for data before the turn of the century (see p. 8). Any comparison with the WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990 is therefore influenced by ongoing monthly mainly administrative changes. An unstable value is clearly not suited as reference value. Simply comparing with the last previous 10 years makes more sense and is more useful. See also additional reflections on page 47.

The different air temperature records have been divided into three quality classes, QC1, QC2 and QC3, respectively, as described on page 8.

In many diagrams shown in this newsletter the thin line represents the monthly global average value, and the thick line indicate a simple running average, in most cases a simple moving 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a three-year average. The 37-month average is calculated from values covering a range from 18 months before to 18 months after, with equal weight given to all individual months.

The year 1979 has been chosen as starting point in many diagrams, as this roughly corresponds to both the beginning of satellite observations and the onset of the late 20<sup>th</sup> century warming period. However, several of the data series have a much longer record length, which may be inspected in greater detail on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com).

### **October 2019 global surface air temperatures**


General: For October 2019 GISS supplied 15978 interpolated surface air data points; all values are used to produce the diagrams shown on page 2. According to the GISS data, the average global monthly temperature anomaly was somewhat higher than in the previous month.

The Northern Hemisphere anomaly pattern was characterised by large regional contrasts. Eastern Europe, western Russia and most of Siberia were relatively warm, while much of SW Canada, USA and much of the Nordic Countries in Europe were relatively cold. Ocean wise, most of the North Atlantic and the Norwegian Sea was relatively cold. In the Arctic, especially the Baffin Island-Greenland sector was relatively warm, while the much of the European Arctic was relatively cold.

Near the Equator temperatures were largely below the 10-year average, apart from the central Pacific Ocean.


The Southern Hemisphere temperatures were near the average for the previous 10 years. Southern Africa and Australia was relatively warm, while most of South America was relatively cold. Most of the ocean surface in the Southern Hemisphere was relatively cold or near the 10-yr temperature average, except for the southern Pacific and the ocean south of Africa, which was relatively warm. In the Antarctica, surface temperatures were mainly below the 10-year average in the western and central part of the continent, while much of East Antarctica was relatively warm.

## October 2019 global surface air temperature compared to October 2018




4

Surface air temperature October 2019 versus October 2018




Surface air temperature October 2019 versus October 2018




October 2019 surface air temperature compared to October 2018. Green-yellow-red colours indicate regions where the present month was warmer than last year, while blue colours indicate regions where the present month was cooler than one year ago. Variations in monthly temperature from one year to the next has no tangible climatic importance but may nevertheless be interesting to study. Data source: [Goddard Institute for Space Studies](#) (GISS) using ERSST\_v4 ocean surface temperatures, and GHCNv4 land surface temperatures.

## Temperature quality class 1: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites, updated to October 2019




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

5



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to [Remote Sensing Systems](#) (RSS), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.


## Temperature quality class 2: HadCRUT global surface air temperature, updated to September 2019



6


Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit \(CRU\)](#), UK. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Please note that the HadCRUT series is not yet updated beyond August 2019.

### Temperature quality class 3: GISS and NCDC global surface air temperature, updated to October 2019



Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the [Goddard Institute for Space Studies \(GISS\)](#), at Columbia University, New York City, USA, using ERSST\_v4 ocean surface temperatures. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

7



Global monthly average surface air temperature since 1979 according to according to the [National Climatic Data Center \(NCDC\)](#), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

### A note on data record stability and -quality:

The temperature diagrams shown above all have 1979 as starting year. This roughly marks the beginning of the recent episode of global warming, after termination of the previous episode of global cooling from about 1940. In addition, the year 1979 also represents the starting date for the satellite-based global temperature estimates (UAH and RSS). For the three surface air temperature records (HadCRUT, NCDC and GISS), they begin much earlier (in 1850 and 1880, respectively), as can be inspected on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com).

For all three surface air temperature records, but especially NCDC and GISS, administrative changes to anomaly values are quite often introduced, even for observations many years back in time. Some changes may be due to the delayed addition of new station data or change of station location, while others probably have their origin in changes of the technique adopted to calculate average values. It is clearly impossible to evaluate the validity of such administrative changes for the outside user of these records; it is only possible to note that such changes appear very often (see example diagram next page).

In addition, the three surface records represent a blend of sea surface data collected by moving ships or by other means, plus data from land stations of partly unknown quality and unknown degree of representativeness for their region. Many of the land stations also has been moved geographically during their period of operation, their instrumentation have been changed, and they are influenced by changes in their near surroundings (vegetation, buildings, etc.).

The satellite temperature records also have their problems, but these are generally of a more technical nature and therefore correctable. In addition, the temperature sampling by satellites is more regular and complete on a global basis than that represented by the surface records. It is also

important that the sensors on satellites measure temperature directly by emitted radiation, while most surface temperature measurements are indirect, using electronic resistance.

Everybody interested in climate science should gratefully acknowledge the efforts put into maintaining the different temperature databases referred to in the present newsletter. At the same time, however, it is also important to realise that all temperature records cannot be of equal scientific quality. The simple fact that they to some degree differ shows that they cannot all be correct.

On this background, and for practical reasons, Climate4you operates with three quality classes (1-3) for global temperature records, with 1 representing the highest quality level:

Quality class 1: The satellite records (UAH and RSS).

Quality class 2: The HadCRUT surface record.

Quality class 3: The NCDC and GISS surface records.

The main reason for discriminating between the three surface records is the following:

While both NCDC and GISS often experience quite large administrative changes (see example on p.8), and therefore essentially are unstable temperature records, the changes introduced to HadCRUT are fewer and smaller. For obvious reasons, as the past does not change, any record undergoing continuing changes cannot describe the past correctly all the time. Frequent and large corrections in a database also signal a fundamental doubt about what is likely to represent the correct values.

You can find more on the issue of lack of temporal stability on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com) (go to: *Global Temperature*, and proceed to *Temporal Stability*).





Diagram showing the adjustments made since May 2008 by the [Goddard Institute for Space Studies](#) (GISS), USA, in published anomaly values for the months January 1910 and January 2000.

**Note:** The administrative upsurge of the temperature increase from January 1915 to January 2000 has grown from 0.45 (reported May 2008) to 0.67°C (reported November 2019). This represents an about 49% administrative temperature increase over this period, meaning that about half of the apparent global temperature increase from January 1910 to January 2000 (as reported by GISS) is due to administrative changes of the original data since May 2008.

Comparing global surface air temperature and lower troposphere satellite temperatures; updated to September 2019



Plot showing the average of monthly global surface air temperature estimates ([HadCRUT4](#), [GISS](#) and [NCDC](#)) and satellite-based temperature estimates ([RSS MSU](#) and [UAH MSU](#)). The thin lines indicate the monthly value, while the thick lines represent the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-yr average. The lower panel shows the monthly difference between average surface air temperature and satellite temperatures. As the base period differs for the different temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing to the average value of 30 years from January 1979 to December 2008.

**Global air temperature linear trends updated to September 2019**




Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20 and 30-yr linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for two satellite-based temperature estimates (UAH MSU and RSS MSU).

11






Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 70 and 100-year linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for three surface-based temperature estimates (GISS, NCDC and HadCRUT4).

**All in one, Quality Class 1, 2 and 3; updated to September 2019**




Superimposed plot of Quality Class 1 (UAH and RSS) global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of both temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-2008 averages.

12



Superimposed plot of Quality Class 1 and 2 (UAH, RSS and HadCRUT4) global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all three temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-2008 averages.



*Superimposed plot of Quality Class 1, 2 and 3 global monthly temperature estimates (UAH, RSS, HadCRUT4, GISS and NCDC). As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all five temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-2008 averages.*

Please see notes on page 8 relating to the above three quality classes.


Satellite- and surface-based temperature estimates are derived from different types of measurements, and that comparing them directly as done in the diagrams above therefore may be questionable.

However, as both types of estimate often are discussed together, the above diagrams may nevertheless be of interest. In fact, the different types of temperature estimates appear to agree as to the overall temperature variations on a 2-3-year scale, although on a shorter time scale there are often considerable differences between the individual records. However, since about 2003 the surface records are slowly drifting towards higher temperatures than the combined satellite record (see p. 10), although this difference recently was reduced by the correction of the RSS satellite series (see lower diagram on page 5).

The average of all five global temperature estimates presently shows an overall stagnation, at least since 2002-2003. There has been no significant increase in global air temperature since 1998, which however was affected by the oceanographic El Niño event. Also, the recent (2015-16) strong El Niño event probably represents a relatively short-lived spike on a longer development. The coming years will show if this is the case or not. Neither has there been a temperature decrease since about 2002-2003. See also diagram on page 48.

The present temperature stagnation does not exclude the possibility that global temperatures will begin to increase significantly again later. On the other hand, it also remains a possibility that Earth just now is passing an overall temperature peak, and that global temperatures will begin to decrease during the coming years. Again, time will show which of these possibilities is correct.

## Global sea surface temperature, updated to October 2019



14

*Sea surface temperature anomaly on 27 October 2019. Map source: Plymouth State Weather Center. Reference period: 1977-1991.*


Because of the large surface areas near Equator, the temperature of the surface water in these regions is especially important for the global atmospheric temperature (p. 5-7). In fact, 50% of planet Earth's surface area is located within 30°N and 30°S.

A mixture of relatively warm and cold water dominates much of the oceans, but with differences from month to month. All these ocean surface temperature changes will be influencing global air temperatures in the months to come.

The significance of any short-term cooling or warming reflected in air temperatures should not be


overstated. Whenever Earth experiences cold La Niña or warm El Niño episodes (Pacific Ocean) major heat exchanges takes place between the Pacific Ocean and the atmosphere above, eventually showing up in estimates of the global air temperature.

However, this does not reflect similar changes in the total heat content of the atmosphere-ocean system. In fact, global net changes can be small and such heat exchanges may mainly reflect redistribution of energy between ocean and atmosphere. What matters is the overall temperature development when seen over several years.




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature over oceans (thin line) since 1979 according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats, displaced vertically to make visual comparison easier.

15




Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit \(CRU\)](#), UK. Base period: 1961-1990. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats, displaced vertically to make visual comparison easier..



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to the [National Climatic Data Center \(NCDC\)](#), USA. Base period: 1901-2000. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats, displaced vertically to make visual comparison easier..


**June 18, 2015:** NCDC has introduced several rather large administrative changes to their sea surface temperature record. The overall result is to produce a record giving the impression of a continuous temperature increase, also in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. As the oceans cover about 71% of the entire surface of planet Earth, the effect of this administrative change is clearly seen in the NCDC record for global surface air temperature (p. 7).

## Ocean temperature in uppermost 100 m, updated to September 2019




World Oceans vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicates 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center](https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/) (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.


17



Pacific Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicates 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center](https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/) (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.




Atlantic Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicates 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center \(NODC\)](#). Base period 1955-2010.




Indian Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicates 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center \(NODC\)](#). Base period 1955-2010.

## North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m, updated to June 2019




19




Global monthly heat content anomaly ( $10^{18}$  Joules) in the uppermost 700 m of the North Atlantic (60-0W, 30-65N; see map above) ocean since January 1955. The thin line indicates monthly values, and the thick line represents the simple running 37-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [National Oceanographic Data Center](#) (NODC).

**North Atlantic temperatures 0-800 m depth along 59°N, 30-0W, updated to July 2019**




Time series depth-temperature diagram along 59 N across the North Atlantic Current from 30°W to 0°W, from surface to 800 m depth. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). See also the diagram below.

20




Average temperature along 59 N, 30-0W, 0-800m depth, corresponding to the main part of the North Atlantic Current, using [Argo](#)-data. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. [Progress in Oceanography](#), 82, 81-100.

## Global ocean temperature 0-1900 m depth summary, updated to July 2019




Summary of average temperature in uppermost 1900 m in different parts of the global oceans, using [Argo](#)-data. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. [Progress in Oceanography](#), 82, 81-100.

## Global ocean net temperature change since 2004 at different depths, updated to July 2019



Net temperature change since 2004 from surface to 1900 m depth in different parts of the global oceans, using [Argo](#)-data. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. [Progress in Oceanography](#), 82, 81-100. Please note that due to the spherical form of Earth, northern and southern latitudes represent only small ocean volumes, compared to latitudes near the Equator.


## La Niña and El Niño episodes, updated to October 2019



23


Warm ( $>+0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and cold ( $<-0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) episodes for the [Oceanic Niño Index \(ONI\)](#), defined as 3 month running mean of ERSSTv4 SST anomalies in the Niño 3.4 region ( $5^{\circ}\text{N}$ - $5^{\circ}\text{S}$ ,  $120^{\circ}$ - $170^{\circ}\text{W}$ ). For historical purposes cold and warm episodes are defined when the threshold is met for a minimum of 5 consecutive over-lapping seasons. Anomalies are centred on 30-yr base periods updated every 5 years.

**Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites, updated to October 2019**




*Global monthly average temperature in different according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. The thin lines represent the monthly average, and the thick line the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average.*

## Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites, updated to October 2019




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the tropics and the northern and southern extratropics, according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. Thin lines show the monthly temperature. Thick lines represent the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

## Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperature, updated to October 2019



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the North Pole and South Pole regions, based on satellite observations ([University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA). Thin lines show the monthly temperature. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

## Temperature over land versus over oceans, updated to October 2019



27

Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 measured over land and oceans, respectively, according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. Thick lines are the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

**Note:** Since 1979, the lower troposphere over land has warmed much more than over oceans, suggesting that the overall warming mainly is derived from incoming solar radiation.

## Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperature, updated to September 2019




Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.

28




Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90°S) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90°S) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area-weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 1920, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.

Because of the relatively small number of Arctic stations before 1930, month-to-month variations in the early part of the Arctic temperature record 1920-2018 are larger than later (diagram above).

The period from about 1930 saw the establishment of many new Arctic meteorological stations, first in Russia and Siberia, and following the 2nd World War, also in North America. The period since 2005 is warm, about as warm as the period 1930-1940.


As the HadCRUT4 data series has improved high latitude coverage data coverage (compared to the HadCRUT3 series), the individual 5°x5° grid cells has been weighted according to their surface area. This area correction is especially important for polar regions.

This approach contrasts with that adopted by [Gillett et al. 2008](#), which calculated a simple average, without any correction for the substantial surface area effect of latitude in polar regions.

Literature:


Gillett, N.P., Stone, D.A., Stott, P.A., Nozawa, T., Karpechko, A.Y.U., Hegerl, G.C., Wehner, M.F. and Jones, P.D. 2008. Attribution of polar warming to human influence. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 750-754.

Arctic and Antarctic sea ice, updated to October 2019




31

Sea ice extent 27 October 2019. The median limit of sea ice (orange line) is defined as 15% sea ice cover, according to the average of satellite observations 1981-2010 (both years included). Sea ice may therefore well be encountered outside and open water areas inside the limit shown in the diagrams above. Map source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).



Diagrams showing Arctic sea ice extent and concentration 28 October 2018 (left) and 2019 (right), according to the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA).



Graphs showing monthly Antarctic, Arctic and global sea ice extent since November 1978, according to the [National Snow and Ice data Center \(NSIDC\)](#).

32






Diagram showing daily Arctic sea ice extent since June 2002, to 28 October 2019, by courtesy of [Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency \(JAXA\)](#).


## Sea Ice Thickness, 28-Oct-2019



33



Diagrams showing Arctic sea ice extent and thickness 28 October 2018 (left) and 2019 (right and above) and the seasonal cycles of the calculated total arctic sea ice volume, according to [The Danish Meteorological Institute \(DMI\)](#). The mean sea ice volume and standard deviation for the period 2004-2013 are shown by grey shading.



12 month running average sea ice extension, global and in both hemispheres since 1979, the satellite-era. The October 1979 value represents the monthly 12-month average of November 1978 - October 1979, the November 1979 value represents the average of December 1978 - November 1979, etc. The stippled lines represent a 61-month (ca. 5 years) average. Data source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

## Sea level in general

Global (or eustatic) sea-level change is measured relative to an idealised reference level, the geoid, which is a mathematical model of planet Earth's surface (Carter et al. 2014). Global sea-level is a function of the volume of the ocean basins and the volume of water they contain. Changes in global sea-level are caused by – but not limited to - four main mechanisms:

1. Changes in local and regional air pressure and wind, and tidal changes introduced by the Moon.
2. Changes in ocean basin volume by tectonic (geological) forces.
3. Changes in ocean water density caused by variations in currents, water temperature and salinity.
4. Changes in the volume of water caused by changes in the mass balance of terrestrial glaciers.

In addition to these there are other mechanisms influencing sea-level; such as storage of ground water, storage in lakes and rivers, evaporation, etc.

Mechanism 1 is controlling sea-level at many sites on a time scale from months to several years. As an example, many coastal stations show a pronounced annual variation reflecting seasonal changes in air pressures and wind speed. Longer-term climatic changes playing out over decades or centuries will also affect measurements of sea-level changes. Hansen et al. (2011, 2015) provide excellent analyses of sea-level changes caused by recurrent changes of the orbit of the Moon and other phenomena.

Mechanism 2 – with the important exception of earthquakes and tsunamis - typically operates over long (geological) time scales and is not significant on human time scales. It may relate to variations in the seafloor spreading rate, causing volume changes in mid-ocean mountain ridges, and to the slowly changing configuration of land and oceans. Another effect may be the slow rise of basins due to isostatic offloading by deglaciation after an ice age. The floor of the Baltic Sea and the Hudson Bay are presently rising, causing a slow net transfer of

water from these basins into the adjoining oceans. Slow changes of very big glaciers (ice sheets) and movements in the mantle will affect the gravity field and thereby the vertical position of the ocean surface. Any increase of the total water mass as well as sediment deposition into oceans increase the load on their bottom, generating sinking by viscoelastic flow in the mantle below. The mantle flow is directed towards the surrounding land areas, which will rise, thereby partly compensating for the initial sea level increase induced by the increased water mass in the ocean.

Mechanism 3 (temperature-driven expansion) only affects the uppermost part of the oceans on human time scales. Usually, temperature-driven changes in density are more important than salinity-driven changes. Seawater is characterised by a relatively small coefficient of expansion, but the effect should however not be overlooked, especially when interpreting satellite altimetry data. Temperature-driven expansion of a column of seawater will not affect the total mass of water within the column considered and will therefore not affect the potential at the top of the water column. Temperature-driven ocean water expansion will therefore not in itself lead to any lateral displacement of water, but only locally lift the ocean surface. Near the coast, where people are living, the depth of water approaches zero, so no measurable temperature-driven expansion will take place here (Mörner 2015). Mechanism 3 is for that reason not important for coastal regions.


Mechanism 4 (changes in glacier mass balance) is an important driver for global sea-level changes along coasts, for human time scales. Volume changes of floating glaciers – ice shelves – has no influence on the global sea-level, just like volume changes of floating sea ice has no influence. Only the mass-balance of grounded or land-based glaciers is important for the global sea-level along coasts.

Summing up: Presumably, mechanism 1 and 4 are the most important for understanding sea-level changes along coasts.

### References:

- Carter R.M., de Lange W., Hansen, J.M., Humlum O., Idso C., Kear, D., Legates, D., Mörner, N.A., Ollier C., Singer F. & Soon W. 2014. Commentary and Analysis on the Whitehead& Associates 2014 NSW Sea-Level Report. Policy Brief, NIPCC, 24. September 2014, 44 pp. <http://climatechangereconsidered.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/NIPCC-Report-on-NSW-Coastal-SL-9z-corrected.pdf>
- Hansen, J.-M., Aagaard, T. and Binderup, M. 2011. Absolute sea levels and isostatic changes of the eastern North Sea to central Baltic region during the last 900 years. *Boreas*, 10.1111/j.1502-3885.2011.00229.x. ISSN 0300-9483.
- Hansen, J.-M., Aagaard, T. and Huijpers, A. 2015. Sea-Level Forcing by Synchronization of 56- and 74-Year Oscillations with the Moon's Nodal Tide on the Northwest European Shelf (Eastern North Sea to Central Baltic Sea). *Journ. Coastal Research*, 16 pp.
- Mörner, Nils-Axel 2015. Sea Level Changes as recorded in nature itself. *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, Vol.5, 1, 124-129.

## Global sea level from satellite altimetry, updated to January 2018



Global sea level since December 1992 according to the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research at University of Colorado at Boulder. The blue dots are the individual observations, and the purple line represents the running 121-month (ca. 10 year) average. The two lower panels show the annual sea level change, calculated for 1 and 10-year time windows, respectively. These values are plotted at the end of the interval considered. Data from the TOPEX/Poseidon mission have been used before 2002, and data from the Jason-1 mission (satellite launched December 2001) after 2002.


**Ground truth** is a term used in various fields to refer to information provided by direct observation as opposed to information provided by inference, such as, e.g., by satellite observations.

In remote sensing using satellite observations, ground truth data refers to information collected on location. Ground truth allows the satellite data to be related to real features observed on the planet surface. The collection of ground truth data enables calibration of remote-sensing

data, and aids in the interpretation and analysis of what is being sensed or recorded by satellites. Ground truth sites allow the remote sensor operator to correct and improve the interpretation of satellite data.

For satellite observations on sea level ground true data are provided by the classical tide gauges (example diagram on next page), that directly measures the local sea level many places distributed along the coastlines on the surface of the planet.

## Global sea level from tide-gauges, updated to December 2017




37

*Holgate-9 monthly tide gauge data from PSMSL Data Explorer. Holgate (2007) suggested the nine stations listed in the diagram to capture the variability found in a larger number of stations over the last half century studied previously. For that reason, average values of the Holgate-9 group of tide gauge stations are interesting to follow. The blue dots are the individual average monthly observations, and the purple line represents the running 121-month (ca. 10 year) average. The two lower panels show the annual sea level change, calculated for 1 and 10-year windows, respectively. These values are plotted at the end of the interval considered.*

### Reference:


Holgate, S.J. 2007. On the decadal rates of sea level change during the twentieth century. *Geophys. Res. Letters*, 34, L01602, doi:10.1029/2006GL028492

## Northern Hemisphere weekly and seasonal snow cover, updated to October 2019




Northern hemisphere snow cover (white) and sea ice (yellow) 28 October 2018 (left) and 2019 (right). Map source: [National Ice Center \(NIC\)](#).


38



Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 2000 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2018 average.




Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 1972 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2018 average.



Northern hemisphere seasonal snow cover since January 1972 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory.

## Atmospheric specific humidity, updated to October 2019




40

[Specific atmospheric humidity](#) (g/kg) at three different altitudes in the lower part of the atmosphere ([the Troposphere](#)) since January 1948 ([Kalnay et al. 1996](#)). The thin blue lines show monthly values, while the thick blue lines show the running 37-month average (about 3 years). Data source: [Earth System Research Laboratory \(NOAA\)](#).


**Note:** Water vapour is by far the most important greenhouse gas in Earth's atmosphere, considerably more important than CO<sub>2</sub>.

## Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, updated to October 2019



Monthly amount of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (upper diagram) and annual growth rate (lower diagram); average last 12 months minus average preceding 12 months, thin line) of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> since 1959, according to data provided by the [Mauna Loa Observatory](#), Hawaii, USA. The thick, stippled line is the simple running 37-observation average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average.


## The relation between annual change of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and La Niña and El Niño episodes, updated to October 2019



Visual association between annual growth rate of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (upper panel) and Oceanic Niño Index (lower panel). See also diagrams on page 40 and 22, respectively.

Note: Changes in the global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is seen to vary roughly in concert with changes in the Oceanic Niño Index. The typical sequence of events is that changes in the global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> follows changes in the Oceanic Niño Index.

## The phase relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global temperature, updated to September 2019



12-month change of global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ([Mauna Loa](#); green), global sea surface temperature ([HadSST3](#); blue) and global surface air temperature ([HadCRUT4](#); red dotted). All graphs are showing monthly values of DIFF12, the difference between the average of the last 12 months and the average for the previous 12 months for each data series.

43


**Note:** The typical sequence of events is seen to be that changes in the global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> follows changes in global surface air temperature, which again follows changes in global ocean surface temperatures. Thus, changes in global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> are lagging 9.5–10 months behind changes in global air surface temperature, and 11–12 months behind changes in global sea surface temperature.

### References:


Humlum, O., Stordahl, K. and Solheim, J-E. 2012. The phase relation between atmospheric carbon dioxide and global temperature. *Global and Planetary Change*, August 30, 2012.



<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818112001658?v=s5>


# Global air temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, updated to October 2019



44







Diagrams showing UAH, RSS, HadCRUT4, NCDC and GISS monthly global air temperature estimates (blue) and the monthly atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> content (red) according to the [Mauna Loa Observatory](#), Hawaii. The Mauna Loa data series begins in March 1958, and 1958 was therefore chosen as starting year for the all diagrams above. Reconstructions of past atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (before 1958) are not incorporated in this diagram, as such past CO<sub>2</sub> values are derived by other means (ice cores, stomata, or older measurements using different methodology), and therefore are not directly comparable with direct atmospheric measurements. The dotted grey line indicates the approximate linear temperature trend, and the boxes in the lower part of the diagram indicate the relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global surface air temperature, negative or positive.

Most climate models are programmed to give the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub> significant influence on global temperature. It is therefore relevant to compare different temperature records with measurements of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, as shown in the diagrams above.

Any comparison, however, should not be made on a monthly or annual basis, but for a longer time, as other effects (oceanographic, cloud cover, etc.) may override the potential influence of CO<sub>2</sub> on short time scales such as just a few years.

It is of course equally inappropriate to present new meteorological record values, whether daily, monthly or annual, as demonstrating the legitimacy of the hypothesis ascribing high importance of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> for global temperatures. Any such meteorological record value may well be the result of other phenomena. Unfortunately, many news media repeatedly fall into this trap.

What exactly defines the critical length of a relevant period length to consider for evaluating the alleged importance of CO<sub>2</sub> remains elusive and represents a theme for discussion. However, the length of the


critical period must be inversely proportional to the temperature sensitivity of CO<sub>2</sub>, including feedback effects. Thus, if the net temperature effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is strong, the critical period will be short, and vice versa.

However, past climate research history provides some clues as to what has traditionally been considered the relevant length of period over which to compare temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. After about 10 years of concurrent global temperature- and CO<sub>2</sub>-increase, IPCC was established in 1988. For obtaining public and political support for the CO<sub>2</sub>-hypothesis the 10-year warming period leading up to 1988 most likely was considered important. Had the global temperature instead been decreasing at that time, political support for the hypothesis would have been difficult to obtain in 1988.

Based on the previous 10 years of concurrent temperature- and CO<sub>2</sub>-increase, many climate scientists in 1988 presumably felt that their understanding of climate dynamics was enough to conclude about the importance of CO<sub>2</sub> for global temperature changes. From this it may safely be concluded that 10 years was considered a period long enough to demonstrate the effect of increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on global temperatures. The 10-year period is also basis for the anomaly diagrams shown on page 2.

Adopting this approach as to critical time length (at least 10 years), the varying relation (positive or negative) between global temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> has been indicated in the lower panels of the diagrams above.

## Latest 20-year QC1 global monthly air temperature changes, updated to October 2019



48

Last 20 years' global monthly average air temperature according to Quality Class 1 (UAH and RSS; see p.10) global monthly temperature estimates. The thin blue line represents the monthly values. The thick black line is the linear fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin black lines. The thick green line represents a 5-degree polynomial fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin green lines. A few key statistics are given in the lower part of the diagram (please note that the linear trend is the monthly trend).


In the still ongoing climate debate the following about the global surface air temperature is often put forward: Is the surface air temperature still increasing or has it basically remained without significant changes during the last about 15 years?

The diagram above may be useful in this context and demonstrates the differences between two often used statistical approaches to determine recent temperature trends. Please also note that such fits only attempt to describe the past, and usually have small, if any, predictive power. In addition, before using any linear trend (or other) analysis of time series a proper statistical model should be chosen, based on statistical justification.

For temperature time series, there is no *a priori* physical reason why the long-term trend should be linear in time. In fact, climatic time series often have trends for which a straight line is not a good approximation, as is clearly demonstrated by several of the diagrams shown in the present report.


For an excellent description of problems often encountered by analyses of temperature time series analyses, please see [Keenan, D.J. 2014: Statistical Analyses of Surface Temperatures in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report.](#)

Sunspot activity and QC1 average satellite global air temperature, updated to October 2019



Variation of global monthly air temperature according to Quality Class 1 (UAH and RSS; see p.4) and observed sunspot number as provided by the Solar Influences Data Analysis Center (SIDC), since 1979. The thin lines represent the monthly values, while the thick line is the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. The asymmetrical temperature 'bump' around 1998 is influenced by the oceanographic El Niño phenomenon in 1998, as is the case also for 2015-16.

## 1854: The Crimean War and the beginning of systematic meteorological observations



Map of Crimean War (in Russian). Russian Empire (light green), Austrian Empire (pink), Ottoman Empire (dark grey). Map source: Wikipedia.

The Crimean War (1853–1856) was fought between Imperial Russia on one side and an alliance of France, the United Kingdom, the Kingdom of Sardinia, and the Ottoman Empire on the other. The chain of events leading to Britain and France declaring war on Russia on 28 March 1854 can be traced to a fierce disagreement of whom was going to have "sovereign authority" in the Holy Land.


The immediate cause for the war involved the rights of Christian minorities in the Holy Land, which at that time was a part of the Ottoman Empire. The French promoted the rights of Roman Catholics, while Russia promoted those of the Eastern

Orthodox Church. The longer-term causes involved the decline of the Ottoman Empire and the unwillingness of Britain and France to allow Russia to gain territory and power at Ottoman expense. It has widely been noted that the causes for this war, at one time involving an argument over a specific key, have never revealed a "greater confusion of purpose" (Wikipedia).

The war started in the Balkans in July 1853, when Russian troops occupied the Danubian Principalities (now part of Romania, light grey in map above), which were under Ottoman sovereignty, then began to cross the river Danube. Led by Omar Pasha, the

Ottomans fought a strong defensive campaign and stopped the advance at Silistra. A separate action on the fort town of Kars in eastern Anatolia led to a siege, and a Turkish attempt to reinforce the garrison was destroyed by a Russian fleet at Sinop. Fearing an Ottoman collapse, France and Britain

then rushed forces to Gallipoli. They then moved north to Varna in June 1854, arriving just in time for the Russians to abandon Silistra. Aside from a minor skirmish at K stence (today's Constanza), there was little for the allies to do.



Historical map showing the territory between Balaklava and Sevastopol at the time of the Siege of Sevastopol. Map source: Wikipedia and Gardiner (1895).

Frustrated by the wasted effort, and with demands for action from their citizens, the allied force decided to attack Russia's main naval base in the Black Sea at Sevastopol on the Crimean Peninsula. After extensive preparations, involving bringing a large French-British fleet into the Black Sea, the forces landed on the peninsula in September 1854 and marched their way to a point south of

Sevastopol after the successful Battle of the Alma. The Russians counterattacked on 25 October in what became the Battle of Balaklava and were repulsed, but only at the cost of seriously depleting the British Army forces. A second counterattack, at Inkerman, ended in stalemate. The front then settled into a siege and led to brutal conditions for troops on both sides, as winter was approaching.



*Siege of Sevastopol. Picture source: Wikipedia and Crimea Sevastopol Istorychny boulevard Memorial complex.*

However, during the ongoing siege of Sevastopol, a major part of the combined French-English fleet in the Black Sea was hit by an unexpected storm and destroyed November 1854. This represented a major military disaster for the allies.

By later collecting local weather reports, the track of this storm could be followed across Europe all the way to the Black Sea. The French astronomer Leverrier was given the responsibility to investigate if it at all was possible to forecast such weather events in the future. With great difficulties, these developments lead to the first network of meteorological stations in France, sending information on local weather to a central weather office in Paris. From 1863 the first real daily weather maps showing pressure differences were produced for western Europe by this Paris office. Within few years most nations in Europe and USA followed suit.

By this, the time around 1870-1875 marks the beginning of widespread, systematic meteorological observations in Europe, USA, Greenland and Iceland. Even a few high-altitude meteorological stations were established in some mountain areas.

As to the Crimean War, Sevastopol fell after eleven months of siege, and neutral countries then began to join the Allied cause. Isolated and facing a bleak prospect of invasion from the west if the war continued, Russia sued for peace in March 1856. France and Britain welcomed this development, as the conflict was growing increasingly unpopular at home. The Treaty of Paris, signed on 30 March 1856, ended the war, among other things forbidding Russia from operating warships in the Black Sea.

REFERENCES:

Gardiner, S.R. 1895. *School Atlas of English History*. Editor Samuel Rawson Gardiner, cartographer F.S. Weller. Longmans, Green & Co, London and New York. OCLC 2024106.

Wikipedia; The Crimean War: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crimean\\_War](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crimean_War)

\*\*\*\*\*

All diagrams in this report, along with any supplementary information, including links to data sources and previous issues of this newsletter, are freely available for download on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com)

Please note that due to travel the November 2019 update newsletter will not be published before mid-January 2020.

Yours sincerely,

Ole Humlum (Ole.Humlum@gmail.com)

Arctic Historical Evaluation and Research Organisation, Longyearbyen, Svalbard

November 20, 2019.